

The Soundview Landmark Group at Morgan Stanley

Where We're Going

Private Credit! That's one of the hottest topics in the investment world these days. Think of Private Equity, it's kind of the same set up, but in Private Equity the private equity fund manager takes a company over and runs it, while a private credit fund manager simply lends money to the company. A private credit fund is like a bank. It raises funds from investors just like a bank raises funds from savers. A bank usually takes the deposits from a saver and lends some of it to various enterprises in hopes of earning a rate of interest income above what it pays to the depositor. Likewise, the private credit fund takes the funds it raises from investors and lends it to various enterprises in hopes of earning a rate of interest above the average run-of-the-mill bond fund. Private Credit really got going post the Great Financial Crisis. As we all remember, a lot of large financial entities got caught up lending a great deal of their depositor's savings to people looking to buy a house. Eventually, the financial entities were so enthused with the business that they started lending money to people that literally had no chance paying them back. As we all know, it didn't end well. As a result, banks were targeted for sterner regulatory measures. In short, they had to conserve enough capital to make doubly, even triply, sure that they maintained enough funds on hand to cover their customer's savings, lest said customer came a knockin' requesting a withdrawal. Having to hold onto more money, meant banks didn't have as much money to lend out to various enterprises. Also, post the crisis, banks became far more risk averse. They lost their appetite for making loans to hopey/changey type ventures. The result? A void in the credit markets. Banks stopped lending to a lot of worthwhile businesses. That's where this whole private credit thing comes in handy. These funds have stepped in to fill the lending role that the banks no longer can, or decline, to fill. Awesome, right? Well, maybe not so awesome, or awesome up until it isn't. Ask Tom Horn.

Tom Horn was born in 1860. His parents were deeply religious people and believed strongly in dishing out God's wrath upon their own children to keep them from straying too far from a righteous path. Accordingly, at the age of fourteen, young Tom skipped out on the family and headed west for Arizona. There, after trying his hand briefly at prospecting, riding for the Pony Express and cow herding, he ended up as a mule packer for the U.S. Army. No dummy, Tom learned to speak Spanish and even Apache. Within a year, he rose to chief of scouts in the Army's campaign to capture Geronimo. From there he headed to Mexico, but came back to the states after killing his first fellow human, an officer in the Mexican army, in a duel over the amorous affections of a prostitute. Upon his return to Arizona, Mr. Horn built his own ranch. In short order, he acquired over 100 head of cattle and 26 horses. Then, in even shorter order, a gang of cattle thieves stormed his ranch and stole the entire herd. Tom had to file for bankruptcy and came away from the entire incident with a deep, deep disdain for horse thieves and cattle rustlers.

After a brief stint on the rodeo circuit as a steer-roper, Horn took an interest in pursuing a career in law enforcement. He took a job as a deputy sheriff in Pleasant Valley, Arizona. There, a war broke out between cattlemen and sheep herders. Tom promoted himself around the region as a mediator of the conflict, and all his self-promoting eventually caught the attention of the Pinkerton Detective Agency. They hired Horn to head up their Denver office, handling investigations in Colorado and Wyoming. In his autobiography, Horn claimed the Pinkerton job was just plain boring, and that the formality of the organization prohibited him from performing his job effectively. Perhaps, but records show he took it upon himself to kill 17 men before they were ever provided their day in court. He also came under scrutiny for being involved in a bank robbery in Reno, NV. In any event, it was all adding up to a public relations nightmare for the Pinkerton agency, and consequently they suggested Tom resign. He did. For the next venture in his career, he put himself out for hire as, what he termed, a "range detective." Basically, if someone stole a horse or head of cattle from you,

you could hire out Tom and he would search the surrounding territory to find the guilty party and get your cattle, or horse, back to you. If said thief was not cooperative with Tom, Tom would take further steps to recover your livestock. If he had to shoot and kill the suspected thief in order to return the stolen property, then so be it. Range detective? How about vigilante. He charged \$500-\$600 for each cattle rustler he shot. Not surprisingly, Tom found and killed a lot of cattle rustlers. "Killing men is my specialty," he once said, "and I think I have a corner on the market." Then, in the summer of 1901, a feud broke out between a sheep rancher by the name of Kels Nickell and Wyoming cattle rancher, Jim Miller. Miller claimed Nickell's sheep were grazing on his land and quickly hired our friend Tom to enforce his property rights. A few weeks later, Nickell's son, Willie, was found shot dead a mile from his home. Law enforcement immediately focused on the "range detective." Unfortunately for Tom Horn, he liked to brag about his accomplishments and in an interview for a phony "range detective" job set up by a U.S. Deputy Marshal, he laid out his fine work in the Nickell's-Miller feud, unaware that a court reporter was listening in and taking notes. Tom Horn was sentenced to death for the murder of Willie Nickell and hanged on November 20, 1903.¹

Just as a guy can come along and call himself a "range detective," even though he's just a vigilante, a guy, or group, can also come along and call themselves a "private credit" investor, even though they're really just a bank, and a largely unregulated bank, at that. The private credit market has performed quite well over the past few years. As Barron's noted in a recent article on the industry, "Private debt funds," they write, "have returned 4.2% in 2022 compared with declines of 18% for the S&P 500 index and 15.7% for investment-grade corporate bonds."² Such performance draws attention. As such, a vehicle that was primarily the purview of large institutional investors is now attracting investments from wealthy individual investors. Of course, those that work in the industry tout the advantages of private credit. First, they are largely focused on issuing floating-rate debt. This, of course, offered up a lot of protection for holders of the debt once the Fed and other global central banks went into full-on hiking mode. With the Fed now apparently in "pause" mode in anticipation of a slowdown in the economy, the industry pundits are highlighting defensive aspects of private credit. For instance, most of these private credit funds make senior secured loans to midsize companies. When a borrower runs into trouble the senior secured loans are the first to get paid back in default. Also, the midsize corporate market is big and broad. Lenders are able to diversify across industries and largely avoid lending to those susceptible to the vicissitudes of the normal business cycle. Finally, if a company were to run into trouble, the private credit lender has the ability to negotiate with the borrower to work out a reasonable solution that might offer better returns than forcing the entity into default. So how does that really differ from the advantages that a bank holds when they make a corporate loan? We think what separates a private credit fund from a bank has to do with regulation. First, the bank raises funds from depositors, and those depositors are free to spend that money if they so choose. Private credit raises funds from investors, and they are free to put "gates" up, keeping investors from accessing their money. Credit funds tend to permit only quarterly withdrawals and they don't have to permit those, if too many want their funds back at the same time. Banks don't have that luxury. Furthermore, banks have to report non-conforming loans and regulators require them to hold capital to cover such loans. Private credit funds are not as fully obligated as banks to disclose their holdings, how they're performing, or how they're valued.³ Yet, like banks, private credit funds are run by human beings. Just like bankers, we suspect private credit fund managers enjoy making money, too. We'll bet that they eventually get overly excited about lending to a particular industry (Electric Vehicles? Midtown Manhattan Office Real Estate maybe?) and end up thinking they "have a corner on the market" That's when the gallows await!

The Soundview Landmark Group at Morgan Stanley

David Boudreau, Vice President, Financial Advisor, Portfolio Management Director

Disclosures**Buying, selling, and transacting in Cryptocurrencies, and related funds and products, is highly speculative and may result in a loss of the entire investment.**

This material is not a solicitation or recommendation to buy or sell, or an endorsement of, any security or other financial instrument including, without limitation, Bitcoin, or other virtual currency products or to participate in any trading strategy related to them. Bitcoin and other virtual currency, and securities, listed and/or over the counter derivatives or other financial instruments that derive their value from, have a price linkage to, have exposure to or result in a payment or distribution of Bitcoin or other virtual currency, are not currently available for custody, distribution, settlement, purchase or sale at or through Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("Morgan Stanley"). This is due to, among other factors, the potential high risk and volatility of Bitcoin and other virtual currency products and the fact that virtual currency remains an experimental concept that is not presently regulated or backed by any central bank worldwide and has no tangible intrinsic value.

Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustration purposes only and do not show the performance of any specific investment. Reference to an index does not imply that the portfolio will achieve return, volatility or other results similar to the index. The composition of an index may not reflect the manner in which a portfolio is constructed in relation to expected or achieved returns, portfolio guidelines, restrictions, sectors, correlations, concentrations, volatility, or tracking error target, all of which are subject to change over time.

For index, indicator and survey definitions referenced in this report please visit the following: <http://www.morganstanleyfa.com/public/projectfiles/id.pdf>

The Global Investment Committee is a group of seasoned investment professionals who meet regularly to discuss the global economy and markets. The committee determines the investment outlook that guides our advice to clients. They continually monitor developing economic and market conditions, review tactical outlooks and recommend model portfolio weightings, as well as produce a suite of strategy, analysis, commentary, portfolio positioning suggestions and other reports and broadcasts.

International investing may not be appropriate for every investor and is subject to additional risks, including currency fluctuations, political factors, withholding, lack of liquidity, the absence of adequate financial information, and exchange control restrictions impacting foreign issuers. These risks may be magnified in emerging markets.

This report contains forward looking statements and there can be no guarantees they will come to pass. The information and statistical data contained herein have been obtained from sources believed to be reliable but in no way are guaranteed by Morgan Stanley as to accuracy or completeness. There is no guarantee that the investments mentioned will be in each client's portfolio.

The views expressed herein are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of Morgan Stanley Wealth Management or its affiliates. All opinions are subject to change without notice. Neither the information provided nor any opinion expressed constitutes a solicitation for the purchase or sale of any security. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

Equity securities may fluctuate in response to news on companies, industries, market conditions and the general economic environment. Companies cannot assure or guarantee a certain rate of return or dividend yield; they can increase, decrease or totally eliminate their dividends without notice.

Because of their narrow focus, sector investments tend to be more volatile than investments that diversify across many sectors and companies.

Bonds are subject to interest rate risk. When interest rates rise, bond prices fall; generally the longer a bond's maturity, the more sensitive it is to this risk.

Bonds may also be subject to call risk, which is the risk that the issuer will redeem the debt at its option, fully or partially, before the scheduled maturity date. The market value of debt instruments may fluctuate, and proceeds from sales prior to maturity may be more or less than the amount originally invested or the maturity value due to changes in market conditions or changes in the credit quality of the issuer. Bonds are subject to the credit risk of the issuer. This is the risk that the issuer might be unable to make interest and/or principal payments on a timely basis. Bonds are also subject to reinvestment risk, which is the risk that principal and/or interest payments from a given investment may be reinvested at a lower interest rate.

Bonds rated below investment grade may have speculative characteristics and present significant risks beyond those of other securities, including greater credit risk and price volatility in the secondary market. Investors should be careful to consider these risks alongside their individual circumstances, objectives and risk tolerance before investing in high-yield bonds. High yield bonds should comprise only a limited portion of a balanced portfolio.

Please contact your Financial Advisor for a complete listing of all transactions that occurred during the last twelve months.

The individuals mentioned as the Portfolio Management Team are Financial Advisors with Morgan Stanley participating in the Morgan Stanley Portfolio Management program. The Portfolio Management program is an investment advisory program in which the client's Financial Advisor invests the client's assets on a discretionary basis in a range of securities. The Portfolio Management program is described in the applicable Morgan Stanley ADV Part 2, available at www.morganstanley.com/ADV or from your Financial Advisor.

Past performance of any security is not a guarantee of future performance. There is no guarantee that this investment strategy will work under all market conditions.

Growth investing does not guarantee a profit or eliminate risk. The stocks of these companies can have relatively high valuations. Because of these high valuations, an investment in a growth stock can be more risky than an investment in a company with more modest growth expectations.

Value investing does not guarantee a profit or eliminate risk. Not all companies whose stocks are considered to be value stocks are able to turn their business around or successfully employ corrective strategies which would result in stock prices that do not rise as initially expected.

Diversification, asset allocation and rebalancing do not guarantee a profit or protect against a loss in a declining financial market. There may be a potential tax implication with a rebalancing strategy. Investors should consult with their tax advisor before implementing such a strategy. The case study presented is provided for illustrative purposes only. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

The information has been obtained from sources we believe to be reliable, but we cannot guarantee its accuracy or completeness. These strategies do not guarantee a profit or protect against loss and may not be appropriate for all investors. Each customer's specific situation, goals, and results, may differ. Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC. Member SIPC CRC 6080589 11/23.

¹ <https://www.legendsofamerica.com/we-tomhorn/>

² https://www.barrons.com/articles/private-credit-funds-alternative-assets-carry-risks-12ab8a09?mod=past_editions

³ <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2023-10-27/what-is-private-credit-and-how-does-it-work>