

THOUGHTS FOR THE WEEK

DAD JOKES

June 7, 2024

Dad Joke (noun): *a wholesome joke of the type said to be told by fathers, with a punchline that is often an obvious or predictable pun or play on words, and usually judged to be endearingly corny or unfunny.*

But they aren't just told by dads!

They're told by mothers, sons, sisters, brothers, and, of course, financial advisors.

Q: How do you make a tissue dance?

A: *Put a little boogie in it.*

Q: How do frogs invest their money?

A: *They use a stock croaker.*

Q: Why did dad stare at the orange juice carton during breakfast?

A: *The label said concentrate.*

Speaking of concentration, a number of media outlets have recently published articles about heightened US Stock Market concentration, or when the value of a small number of US companies makes up a large portion of the US Stock Market. At the end of May, for example, the top 10 companies in the US Stock Market made up 25% of the market's value (source: Morningstar).

Many analysts think this phenomenon is no laughing matter, citing the level of concentration as unsustainable (source: Wall Street Journal).

They have a point. Since the 1980's, the top 10 companies have made up only 10-20% of the total US Stock Market value. Today's 25% level implies that the largest stocks *could* have their best days behind them (source: Vanguard).

But if we go back to the 1930's, markets tell a very different story, and today's market concentration levels don't feel quite as elevated.

- Throughout the 1930's, the top 10 US Stocks made up ~50% of the US Stock Market value.
 - Throughout the 1940's, ~45%
 - Throughout the 1950's, ~40%
 - Throughout the 1960's, ~35%
 - Throughout the 1970's, ~30%
- (source: Vanguard)

We don't think that increasing market concentration is necessarily a good or a bad thing, but it does provide different tradeoffs for investors.

Since 2013, the top 10 stocks in the S&P 500 have added significant value for shareholders. For example, \$100,000 invested in the S&P 500 in 2013 would have grown to \$272,906 at the end of 2023. Excluding the top 10 names from the S&P 500 over that time period would have reduced returns to \$169,851 (source: Vanguard).

But a more concentrated market can, in theory, diminish the benefits of a broadly diversified stock portfolio, and the tailwinds from the above historical example can become headwinds. When it comes to "quantifying" those diminished benefits, however, many analysts believe that the hundreds of additional securities comprising the balance of the US Stock Market provide a sufficient level of diversification for long term investors (source: Vanguard).

So, what's an investor to do?

Doing "nothing" has historically been a rewarding option for those who can ignore the temptation to tinker. US Stock markets – despite myriad levels of concentration – have rewarded investors with 10%+ annualized returns since the mid-1920's (source: Morgan Stanley). Even if today's market leaders are "dethroned", the gains from other stock market constituents can power forward returns. Until, of course, those upstarts are dethroned. In fact, not one of the top 10 US Stocks in 1930 remained in the top 10 US Stocks in 2020. Nevertheless, patient investors, holding diversified assets, were rewarded (source: Vanguard).

Proactively owning more or less of a stock relative to the broad market – increasing or decreasing the concentration by way of "active management" – can be a rewarding strategy, as well. But remember that investing is a "zero sum game", and one investor's wins/losses are another investor's losses/wins, respectively. Successful attempts to generate above average returns by straying from broad stock market weightings has historically demanded a competitive advantage, patience, and discipline.

And finally, deferring these decisions to an investment professional can lead to good outcomes for investors in need of a helping hand. Our team assists clients and friends of the practice with these types of issues every day, so please don't hesitate to reach out with questions.

Enjoy you reading and your weekend (and one more dad joke).

Q: What did Friday say to Saturday and Sunday when they were about to give up?

A: *Weekend do it!*

Mike, Cate, Scott, Willis, Suzy, Oscar and Wes

Private Wealth Advisors:

Mike Burbank, CIMA[®], CFP[®] Managing Director

Scott Hafeli, CFA Executive Director

Willis Davis, CFA Senior Vice President

Cate Rachford Senior Vice President, Group Director

Suzy Shin Wealth Management Associate

Oscar Castillo Portfolio Associate

Wes Cai Wealth Management Analyst

Morgan Stanley Private Wealth Management | The Burbank Group

555 California Street, 14th Floor | San Francisco, CA 94104

Phone: +1 415 576 3134

To unsubscribe from the email list, please contact Wes Cai at Wes.Cai@morganstanleypwm.com.

Sources:

<https://www.morningstar.com/columns/rekenthaler-report/is-stock-market-too-concentrated>

<https://www.wsj.com/finance/stocks/its-the-magnificent-sevens-market-the-other-stocks-are-just-living-in-it-5d212f95>

Vanguard Investment Advisory Research Center, *Historical perspective on equity concentration*, PID 3000630 (3266665) DOLU 06072025

Awards:

2024 Barron's Top 250 Private Wealth Management Teams

Source: barrons.com (Awarded May 2024) Data compiled by Barron's for the period Jan 2023-Dec 2023.

2024 Forbes Best-In-State Wealth Management Teams

Source: Forbes.com (Awarded Jan 2024) Data compiled by SHOOK Research LLC based on time period from 3/31/22-3/31/23.

Mike Burbank | 2019-2020 & 2022-2024 Forbes Best-In-State Wealth Advisors

Source: Forbes.com (Awarded 2019-2020 & 2022-2024). Data compiled by SHOOK Research LLC based 12-month time period concluding in June of year prior to the issuance of the award.

Mike Burbank | 2017-2024 Barron's Top 1,200 Financial Advisors: State-by-State (formerly referred to as Barron's Top 1,000 Financial Advisors: State-by-State)

Source: Barrons.com (Awarded 2017-2024). Data compiled by Barron's based on 12-month period concluding in Sept of the year prior to the issuance of the award.

Mike Burbank | 2013-2020 Financial Times 400 Top Financial Advisors

Source: ft.com. Data compiled by the Financial Times based the following time periods:

Awarded 2013-2020; data 12/31/12 - 6/30/19

[Awards Disclosures](#)

Please note that the URL(s) or hyperlink(s) in this material is not to a Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC website. It was created, operated, and maintained by a different entity. Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC is not implying an affiliation, sponsorship, endorsement with/of the third party or that any monitoring is being done by Morgan Stanley of any information contained within the linked site; nor do we guarantee its accuracy or completeness. Morgan Stanley is not responsible for the information contained on the third-party web site or the use of or inability to use such site.

The information and data contained in this piece are from sources considered reliable, but their accuracy and completeness are not guaranteed.

This illustration in this piece is hypothetical and shown for illustrative purposes only. The illustration is not intended to predict the returns of any particular investment, which will fluctuate with market conditions. Actual results may differ from those depicted in the illustration. The Burbank Hafeli Group at Morgan Stanley Private Wealth Management is focused on serving the investment and financial planning needs of the founders of food, beverage, and consumer products companies and private equity and investment banking professionals.

Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("Morgan Stanley"), its affiliates and Morgan Stanley Financial Advisors or Private Wealth Advisors do not provide tax or legal advice. Clients should consult their tax advisor for matters involving taxation and tax planning and their attorney for matters involving trust and estate planning and other legal matters.

Asset allocation, diversification and rebalancing do not assure a profit or protect against loss. There may be a potential tax implication with a rebalancing strategy. Please consult your tax advisor before implementing such a strategy.

The views expressed herein are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of Morgan Stanley Wealth Management or its affiliates. All opinions are subject to change without notice. Neither the information provided, nor any opinion expressed constitutes a solicitation for the purchase or sale of any security. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

This material does not provide individually tailored investment advice. It has been prepared without regard to the individual financial circumstances and objectives of persons who receive it. The strategies and/or investments discussed in this material may not be appropriate for all investors. Morgan Stanley Wealth Management recommends that investors independently evaluate particular investments and strategies and encourages investors to seek the advice of a Financial Advisor. The appropriateness of a particular investment or strategy will depend on an investor's individual circumstances and objectives.

Any type of continuous or periodic investment plan does not assure a profit and does not protect against loss in declining markets. Since such a plan involves continuous investment in securities regardless of fluctuating price levels of such securities, the investor should consider his financial ability to continue his purchases through periods of low-price levels.

S&P 500 Index is an unmanaged, market value-weighted index of 500 stocks generally representative of the broad stock market. An investment cannot be made directly in a market index.

Bonds rated below investment grade may have speculative characteristics and present significant risks beyond those of other securities, including greater credit risk and price volatility in the secondary market. Investors should be careful to consider these risks alongside their individual circumstances, objectives, and risk tolerance before investing in high-yield bonds. High yield bonds should comprise only a limited portion of a balanced portfolio.

Bonds are subject to interest rate risk. When interest rates rise, bond prices fall; generally, the longer a bond's maturity, the more sensitive it is to this risk. Bonds may also be subject to call risk, which is the risk that the issuer will redeem the debt at its option, fully or partially, before the scheduled maturity date. The market value of debt instruments may fluctuate, and proceeds from sales prior to maturity may be more or less than the amount originally invested or the maturity value due to changes in market conditions or changes in the credit quality of the issuer.

Bonds are subject to the credit risk of the issuer. This is the risk that the issuer might be unable to make interest and/or principal payments on a timely basis. Bonds are also subject to reinvestment risk, which is the risk that principal and/or interest payments from a given investment may be reinvested at a lower interest rate.

Morgan Stanley Private Wealth Management, a division of Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC. Member SIPC.

CRC 6675396 06/24